

Geoscientist book reviews — a guide to authors.

Thank you for agreeing to review the enclosed book. We require your review to be **400 words long** (please do not exceed or undershoot by more than 10 words).

Please entitle your review with the main title of the book, and provide, after the text, the reference to the volume in standard current *Geoscientist* format, following this model:

- THE TRIAXIAL INDICATRIX: A CULTURAL HISTORY by ALBERTUS OOSTHUIS DeWIND, 2014. Published by: Arcana Press 2346pp (hbk) ISBN: 1326593847596 List Price: £149.00. W: www.arcanapress.co.uk/triaxialwind.

Deadlines & publication

We do not set deadlines, recognising that we are not paying you for this review. However, reviews should be timely and we would be grateful to receive your review **within three months of receipt of this letter**.

Reviews are published 'online first' at www.geolsoc.org.uk/reviews. They are then published in the print magazine in order of receipt, unless other considerations such as publication date (in the case of advance copies) dictate otherwise. We reserve the right not to include reviews in the print issue.

Objectives

The objectives of a *Geoscientist* book review are to provide a scholarly assessment of the book; to highlight topical issues around a subject for a geologically literate readership, and to entertain as well as inform.

Level and tone of writing should be appropriate for a graduate Earth science audience. Most of your readers will have a basic qualification in Earth science or related subject, but will not be researchers.

Please focus on one or two key messages, indicating topical relevance where appropriate to the readership, and placing the book in a wider context, possibly in relation to other books (recently) published in the field.

Please avoid, if possible, listing chapter headings and contents.

Please avoid the use of references, unless absolutely necessary.

Please do not include diagrams, tables or photographs.

Please do not comment on the production values of the book unless these create an issue for the reader – such as poor picture quality, or a regrettable lack of colour, for example.

Please do mention poor editing, where this is a serious annoyance. However, please do not list such errors, or a large number of editorial quibbles.

Editing

Your review will be edited for publication in print without further consultation. The online version may also be edited for House style, but is more likely to receive a light touch than the print version.

Conflicts of interest

You are asked to review the book as an expert in the field, capable of providing informed comment. However we would ask you to return the book if you discover that you have any conflict of interest: for example, if you know the author well, or if you find you are connected to persons mentioned (especially if unfavourably) in the book, or if the book's author has ever published material critical of, or contrary to, your own work.

Copyright

By agreeing to review this book you are assigning copyright of your work to The Geological Society of London. However you and anyone else may make free use of the review, as long as *Geoscientist* is acknowledged as the source.

Dr TED NIELD

Editor

September 2014